Long-term Labor Market Adjustment to Transitory Shocks: Worker Impact and Firm Channels

Ana Margarida Fernandes (World Bank)

Joana Silva (World Bank and Catolica Lisbon)

CompNet ProdTalks November 2 2021

Motivation

 Economic crises can lead to firm closure and job displacement resulting in worker scarring effects (Jacobson et al., 1993)

Yet, their magnitude and duration depend on:

- Underlying firm-level mechanisms
- Cushioning effects of income support programs (e.g., unemployment insurance)
- The literature has focused on short-run effects of crises and shocks on employment but has missed the path into the long-run

This paper

- Estimates long-run impacts of economic crises on workers and their firm-level driving mechanisms
- Exploits quasi-experimental variation in firms' foreign demand resulting from the 2008-2009 Global Financial Crisis (GFC)
- Addresses key questions:
 - What are workers' margins of adjustment to firms' foreign shocks? Do labor market factors mediate shock severity?
 - Mechanisms: Are adjustments taking place in initial firm (scarring among continuing workers) or is there worker reallocation? How do firms adjust?
 - To which extent do income support policies buffer impact of foreign shocks?

Approach

Worker-level and firm-level analysis

- Identify causal impacts of firm GFC foreign shocks on workers and firms in Brazil and Ecuador
- Compare evolution of employment and wages in 2009-2017 for workers initially in firms facing larger vs. smaller GFC foreign shocks
 - Using longitudinal administrative data on formal workers matched with customs data for their firms
- Compare adjustment in firm labor and performance outcomes in 2009-2017 for firms initially facing large vs. smaller GFC foreign shocks
 - Using longitudinal data on firm outcomes matched with customs data

Main findings

Worker effects of firm GFC foreign shocks:

- Persistent reduction in employment in Brazil and Ecuador and real wages in Brazil, but scarring only for lower-skilled workers
- Higher informality and lower competition mitigate adjustment
- Mechanisms:
 - Most adjustments for workers continuing at initial firm (reduction in hours)
 - Firm scarring: caused by selection (exit) in Brazil and (revenue, employment and productivity) downsizing in Brazil and Ecuador
 - Firm restructuring: replacing capital with labor in Ecuador and unskilled workers with skilled workers in Brazil
- Role of income support programs:
 - Increases in unemployment insurance and cash transfers, but they yield limited income loss replacement (6%)
- A temporary shock induces permanent effects: firm restructuring causes scars in continuing workers and increases long-run inequality

Related literature

(Worker-level) Dynamic labor market adjustment to trade shocks

- Autor, Dorn, Hanson, Song (2014), Hummels, Jorgensen, Munch, Xiang (2014), Dauth, Findeisen, Sudekum (2017, 2019), Utar (2018), Dix-Carneiro & Kovak (2019)
- We estimate long-run effects of GFC foreign shocks on workers in different developing country settings and assess mediating role of informality and concentration

Reallocation across and within sectors vs. job destruction

- Verhoogen (2008), Harrigan & Reshef (2015), McCaig & Pavcnik (2018), Asquith, Goswami, Neumark, R.-Lopez (2018), Utar (2018), Harasztosi & Lindner (2019)
- We capture worker displacement and worker reallocation (or lack thereof)

Channels: trade shocks affect firms' sales and employment growth and volatility

- Brambilla, Lederman, Porto (2012), Utar (2014), Kurz & Senses (2016), Pierce & Schott (2016), di Giovanni, Levchenko, Mejean (2017), Asquith, Goswami, Neumark, R.-Lopez (2018), Branstetter, Kovak, Mauro, Venancio (2019), Garin & Silverio (2019)
- We link firm-level adjustment to worker-level adjustment to foreign shocks

Recessions and mass layoffs: labor market scarring effects

- Jacobson, Lalonde, Sullivan (1993), Kletzer (1998), Davis & Von Wachter (2011), Krolikowski (2017), Flaaen, Shapiro, Sorkin (2019), Yagan (2019), Lachowska, Mas, Woodbury (2020), Schmieder, Heining, Von Wachter (2020)
- We show for developing countries long-lasting effects of negative shocks even for non-displaced workers

Data

- ► Focus on Brazil and Ecuador over the last 2 decades
- Same core data for both countries
 - Administrative employer-employee longitudinal data on formal labor market
 - Firm panel constructed using the longitudinal data on workers for aggregate labor market outcomes
 - Firm panel from industrial survey and business registry for performance outcomes
 - Customs data covering universe of exporter and importer firms
 - Destination countries' GDP growth information

Core data for Brazil

 Relacao Annual de Informacoes Sociais (RAIS) from Brazilian Ministry of Labor for 2004-2017

- Census of the Brazilian formal labor market high-quality matched employer-employee database
- Very detailed worker-level information on demographics, job characteristics (salary, days worked), occupation, employer firm ID, location, and sector

• Worker random sample

- Merged by firm ID in each year with customs export and import data from Secretariat of Foreign Trade (SECEX) for 2004-2017
- Merged by firm ID in each year with industrial survey data (Pesquisa Industrial Anual) for 2009-2014

Core data for Ecuador

 Social security data from Instituto Ecuatoriano de Seguridad Social for 2006-2017

- Census of Ecuadorian formal labor market
- Very detailed worker-level information on demographics (education from Higher education registry), job characteristics (salary, days worked), employer firm ID, location, and sector
- Merged by firm ID in each year with customs export and import data from Servicio Nacional de Aduana for 2006-2017
- Merged by firm ID in each year with firm business registry from Sistema de Rentas Internas del Ecuador for 2009-2017

Additional data for Brazil

- Occupation data in RAIS worker database
 - Use Helpman et al. (2017) correspondence table to convert Brazilian occupations at 4-digit into 5 skill categories
- Brazilian Census in 2000
 - Informality by municipality
- Unemployment Insurance (UI) individual-level registry
 - Covers all workers that received unemployment insurance
 - Eligibility: being laid off from a private formal job with at least 6 months of job tenure
 - Number of months with UI, amount of UI received
- National registry of low-income households in Brazil linked to all payment records of Bolsa Familia program for 2007-2012
 - Number of months with Bolsa Familia, amount of cash transfer
- Merged by municipality or worker ID

Descriptive statistics: Worker-level

	BF	RAZIL	ECU	JADOR		
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.		
A. WORKER PANEL						
Outcomes						
Months worked per year	8.0	5.0	9.2	4.7		
Real wages	2,949.2	4,743.8	1,072.2	1,153.7		
Average months worked (cumulative)	8.5	3.8	9.7	3.7		
Average real wages (cumulative)	1.9	2.1	3.2	5.0		
Average real wages pre-GFC	2.0	2.9	1.6	2.5		
Average number of months in Bolsa Familia program	2.5	9.4	-	-		
Average payments from Bolsa Familia program	28.0	221.0	-	-		
Average number of months with unemployment insurance	0.5	0.9	-	-		
Average payments from unemployment insurance	522.7	909.5	-	-		
Firm Shock						
Export shock in 2008 (negative of GDP growth)	-3.1	2.0	-3.0	2.5		
Total number of worker-year observations	3,04	46,074	79	4,948		
Total number of unique worker IDs		2,574	89	9,385		
Total number of unique firm IDs		11,453		887		
Share of observations with 0 months worked per year	19	9.0%	12.9%			

Note: the statistics are based on the samples of workers in firms with a non-missing GFC foreign shock measure in 2008. For unemployment insurance and Bolsa Familia program statistics are based only on beneficiaries.

Descriptive statistics: Firm-level

	В	RAZIL	EC	JADOR		
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.		
B. FIRM PANEL						
Outcomes						
Total employment	91.7	109.0	128.9	221.3		
Total employment (log)	3.6	1.5	3.8	1.6		
Total wage bill (log)	10.7	1.5	10.5	1.8		
Avg. wage (log)	7.7	0.4	6.7	0.6		
Net revenue (log)	59.9	103.5	12.0	2.1		
Materials (log)	2.4	1.5	14.3	1.9		
Materials per worker (log)	-1.1	1.1	10.4	1.5		
Capital (log)	3.5	1.0	13.7	2.1		
Capital per worker (log)	0.0	0.6	9.3	1.4		
TFP value added (log)	60.3	104.5	10.4	1.1		
Value added per worker (log)	60.5	105.4	10.3	0.9		
Profit rate	-1.5	1.6	0.3	0.2		
Median of relative price	1.0	0.1	-	-		
Firm Shock						
Export shock in 2008 (negative of GDP growth)	-3.2	2.7	-1.0	2.2		
Total number of firm-year observations		119,189		24,094		
Total number of unique firm IDs	1	5,087	2,859			

Note: the statistics are based on the samples of workers in firms with a non-missing GFC foreign shock measure in 2008.

Empirical design: Firm GFC foreign shock measure

Firm-specific export-weighted destination market GDP decline measure based on customs data and annual GDP growth data:

$$shock_{j2008} = -\sum_{d} GDPgr_{d2008} * w_{jd2007}$$

• $GDPgr_{d2008}$: growth in destination d's GDP in 2007-2008

- w_{jd2007} : share of exports to destination d in total firm j exports in 2007
- Larger values mean firm faces a worse GFC foreign shock

shock_{j2008}=1 (destinations' GDP declined by 1%) is worse than shock_{j2008}=-2 (destinations' GDP increased by 2%)

Shock is exogenous to firms and workers in Brazil and Ecuador

- Quasi-experimental variation in foreign demand caused by sudden and unexpected GFC (Aghion et al., 2021)
- Pre-GFC firm export portfolio weights (Garin and Silverio, 2019)

Empirical design: Main specification

- What are workers' margins of adjustment to GFC foreign shocks?
- Compare evolution of outcomes for workers in firms facing larger vs. smaller GFC foreign shocks

 $y_{ijt} = \theta_t shock_{j2008} + \gamma_1 X_{i2007} + \gamma_2 X_{j2007} + I^{st} + I^{rt} + \varepsilon_{ijt}$

- *i*: worker, *j*: firm, *t*: year, *s*: sector, *r*: region
- y_{ijt}: worker employment or wage outcomes
- X_{i2007} worker controls as of 2007: gender, age, age squared, higher education, past formal sector attachment
- X_{j2007} firm controls as of 2007: firm size, importer status, past growth in firm total employment and average wages
- Sector*year fixed effects (Ist) region*year fixed effects (I^{rt}) (based on 2007 firm's 2-digit sector and region)
- Robust standard errors clustered by firm

Empirical design: Worker outcome variables

Average months worked per year (cumulative until year t): $\frac{1}{t-2008} \sum_{s=2009}^{t} months_{is}$

where $months_{is}$ is the number of months worker i was formally employed in year s

Average real wages (cumulative until year t) as multiples of pre-GFC average wages:

$$\left(\frac{1}{t-2008}\right) \frac{\sum_{s=2009}^{t} wage_{is}}{avgwage_{i2004-07}}$$

where $wage_{is}$ is the monthly wage of worker i in year s deflated by a Consumer Price Index

Impact of GFC foreign shocks on workers in Brazil

Figure: Workers' adjustment to firms' decline in destination GDP - Brazil



Note: Confidence intervals shown based on robust standard errors clustered by firm. The two regressions are based on a sample with about 3 million worker-year observations.

Long-lasting negative effects of GFC foreign shocks on months worked and on real wages, but employment recovers

Impact of GFC foreign shocks on workers in Ecuador

Figure: Workers' adjustment to firms' decline in destination GDP - Ecuador Average months worked Average real wages 0.020 000.0 -0.020 0.000 Thects of GFC Firm Shock -0.020 (Effects of GFC Firm Shock -0.060 -0.040 040 -0.080 0.100 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2009 2010 2017

Notes: Confidence intervals shown based on robust standard errors clustered by firm. Each of the two regressions are based on a sample with about 800 thousand worker-year observations.

Long-lasting negative effects of GFC foreign shocks on months worked but insignificant effect on real wages

Economic magnitude of impacts

- ► Focus on estimated coefficients for 2017
- Consider a worker whose initial firm faced a 10 percentage point worse GFC foreign shock

Impact between 2009 and 2017									
Brazil Ecu									
Months worked	2.1 fewer months (2.7%)	6.1 fewer months (7%)							
Average real wage	1.1 times pre-GFC earnings (6%)								

Robustness of impacts on workers

- Estimate specification separately for each year
- Dropping sectors most affected by commodity price boom
- Dropping years 2015-2017 of major domestic recession
- Dropping vector of firm controls in 2007
- Including separate sector, state, and year fixed effects
- Using worker employment and wage measures as in Yagan (2019)
- Using alternative firm GFC foreign shock measures varying weights for export-weighted average for Brazil
- Using indicator variables for worker dismissal by employer for Brazil

Heterogeneity of impacts across worker characteristics

		Panel A: Brazil					Panel B: Ecuador					
	Aver	age months w	orked	Av	erage real wag	jes	Average months worked			Average real wages		
	Overall	No Higher Education	Age	Overall	No Higher Education	Age	Overall	No Higher Education	Age	Overall	No Higher Education	Age
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
GFC Firm Shock No higher education	-0.0357*** (0.0073)	-0.0080 (0.0109) -0.7421*** (0.0465)	-0.0266*** (0.0069)	-0.0116*** (0.0030)	-0.0090 (0.0061) -0.5084*** (0.0276)	-0.0117*** (0.0039)	-0.0652*** (0.0170)	0.0092 (0.0185) -1.3183*** (0.0882)	-0.0958*** (0.0199)	-0.0184 (0.0122)	-0.0106 (0.0158) -0.4874*** (0.0664)	-0.0277** (0.0113)
No higher education * GFC Firm Shock		-0.0321***			-0.0031			-0.0855***			-0.0077	
Middle-age Old Middle-age * GFC Firm Shock Old * GFC Firm Shock		(0.0114)	0.3830*** (0.0266) 0.4606*** (0.0556) -0.0176*** (0.0060) -0.0309***		(0.0065)	-0.2595**** (0.0155) -0.2958*** (0.0254) -0.0009 (0.0039) -0.0060		(0.0185)	0.5571*** (0.1081) 0.0044 (0.3124) 0.0573*** (0.0220) 0.1471**		(0.0131)	-0.0218 (0.0457) -0.1401** (0.0707) 0.0207 (0.0147) 0.0326**
Observations	3,046,074	3,046,074	(0.0116) 2,463,396	3,030,524	3,030,524	(0.0063) 2,452,164	794,948	794,948	(0.0615) 794,948	794,912	794,912	(0.0156) 794,912
R-squared 2-digit industry X Year FE State X Year FE	0.1274 Yes Yes	0.1275 Yes Yes	0.1514 Yes Yes	0.2757 Yes Yes	0.2757 Yes Yes	0.3388 Yes Yes	0.1457 Yes Yes	0.1496 Yes Yes	0.1416 Yes Yes	0.0892 Yes Yes	0.0929 Yes Yes	0.0894 Yes Yes

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the firm level in parentheses. ***, ***, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

- Strong negative response of employment only for less-educated workers (and also of real wages for less-educated in Brazil)
- Stronger negative response of employment for older workers in Brazil but not in Ecuador
- No difference in adjustment across men and women
- Stronger negative response of wages for lower initial earnings quartiles

Mediating role of informality in Brazil

	Average mo	nths worked	Average	real wages					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
GFC Firm Shock	-0.0448***	-0.0166*	-0.0096***	-0.0053					
	(0.0080)	(0.0085)	(0.0034)	(0.0036)					
High informality rate	-0.1167*		-0.0481***						
	(0.0662)		(0.0186)						
GFC Firm Shock *	0.0261**		-0.0047						
High informality rate	(0.0100)		(0.0045)						
	(0.0132)		(0.0045)						
High share of Agriculture		-0.3453***		-0.1148***					
		(0.0666)		(0.0202)					
GFC Firm Shock *		-0.0421***		-0.0159***					
High share of Agriculture		(0.0124)		(0.0045)					
Observations	2.046.000	(0.0134)	2 020 5 42	(0.0045)					
Observations	3,046,092	2,412,173	3,030,542	2,401,074					
R-squared	0.2090	0.1376	0.2890	0.3477					
2-digit industry X Year FE	No	Yes	No	Yes					
State X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the firm level in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

- Smaller employment response in localities with higher informality
- Informality serves as a buffer providing de facto flexibility for firms and workers to cope with negative shocks

Mediating role of lack of competition in Brazil

	Avera	ge months we	orked		Ave	erage real wag	jes
	(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)
GFC Firm Shock	-0.0620***	-0.0448***	-0.058***	-(0.0183***	-0.0159***	-0.020***
High sector concentration	(0.0095) -2.0384*** (0.1446)	(0.0074)	(0.010)	-((0.0038) 0.5412*** (0.0375)	(0.0035)	(0.004)
GFC Firm Shock * High sector concentration	0.1376***			0	0.0307***		
State-owned	(0.0304)	1.4540***			(0.0080)	0.1111	
GFC Firm Shock * State-owned		0.2016***				-0.1124	
High wage premia		(0.0410)	1.175*** (0.073)			(0.0880)	0.333*** (0.019)
GFC Firm Shock * High wage premia			0.041*** (0.014)				0.012*** (0.004)
Observations R-squared 2-digit industry X Year FE State X Year FE	2,410,379 0.1503 Yes Yes	2,412,137 0.1507 Yes Yes	2,124,575 0.168 Yes Yes	2	2,399,281 0.3522 Yes Yes	2,401,038 0.3523 Yes Yes	2,100,319 0.412 Yes Yes

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the firm level in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

 Smaller response in more concentrated sectors, for protected firms and firms with market power (higher wage premia)

Reversal of normal economic mechanisms, extreme case of "capture" or connectedness

Reallocation of workers across firms & sectors in Brazil

			Average months	s worked	
	Baseline effect	Initial firm	Other firm in same sector	Other firm in tradable sector	Other firm in non- tradable sector
GFC Firm Shock*2009	-0.0586***	-0.0733***	0.0067	0.0033	0.0047
	(0.0100)	(0.0167)	(0.0106)	(0.0029)	(0.0032)
GFC Firm Shock*2010	-0.0479***	-0.0756***	0.0160	0.0064*	0.0055
	(0.0082)	(0.0178)	(0.0111)	(0.0034)	(0.0039)
GFC Firm Shock*2011	-0.0350***	-0.0686***	0.0221*	0.0083**	0.0034
	(0.0077)	(0.0191)	(0.0125)	(0.0042)	(0.0042)
GFC Firm Shock*2012	-0.0314***	-0.0643***	0.0219*	0.0094*	0.0016
	(0.0071)	(0.0195)	(0.0131)	(0.0049)	(0.0047)
GFC Firm Shock*2013	-0.0284***	-0.0593***	0.0211	0.0098*	0.0002
	(0.0065)	(0.0196)	(0.0136)	(0.0054)	(0.0049)
GFC Firm Shock*2014	-0.0265***	-0.0604***	0.0235*	0.0104*	0.0001
	(0.0063)	(0.0199)	(0.0139)	(0.0059)	(0.0051)
GFC Firm Shock*2015	-0.0250***	-0.0587***	0.0251*	0.0098	-0.0012
	(0.0059)	(0.0200)	(0.0140)	(0.0062)	(0.0054)
GFC Firm Shock*2016	-0.0233***	-0.0589***	0.0275*	0.0101	-0.0019
	(0.0057)	(0.0204)	(0.0142)	(0.0067)	(0.0056)
GFC Firm Shock*2017	-0.0214***	-0.0576***	0.0289**	0.0086	-0.0011
	(0.0057)	(0.0202)	(0.0142)	(0.0070)	(0.0058)
Observations	2,463,396	2,463,396	2,463,396	2,463,396	2,463,396
R-squared	0.153	0.174	0.046	0.049	0.076
digit industry X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
state X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the firm level in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

Adjustment is mostly for continuing workers at initial firm

Small counter-effect from reallocation to other firms in tradables

Reallocation of workers across firms & sectors in Ecuador

			Average month	s worked	
	Baseline effect	Initial firm	Other firm in same sector	Other firm in tradable sector	Other firm in non- tradable sector
GFC Firm Shock*2009	-0.0241**	-0.0480**	0.0101*	0.0042	0.0096
	(0.0102)	(0.0192)	(0.0057)	(0.0052)	(0.0071)
GFC Firm Shock*2010	-0.0183**	-0.0421*	0.0149**	0.0002	0.0086
	(0.0088)	(0.0215)	(0.0066)	(0.0061)	(0.0083)
GFC Firm Shock*2011	-0.0292***	-0.0687**	0.0307**	0.0027	0.0061
	(0.0088)	(0.0282)	(0.0152)	(0.0070)	(0.0100)
GFC Firm Shock*2012	-0.0306***	-0.0824**	0.0390*	0.0062	0.0066
	(0.0095)	(0.0342)	(0.0211)	(0.0079)	(0.0116)
GFC Firm Shock*2013	-0.0307***	-0.0733*	0.0284	0.0070	0.0071
	(0.0094)	(0.0394)	(0.0264)	(0.0084)	(0.0128)
GFC Firm Shock*2014	-0.0331***	-0.0712*	0.0208	0.0106	0.0066
	(0.0093)	(0.0430)	(0.0296)	(0.0089)	(0.0141)
GFC Firm Shock*2015	-0.0291***	-0.0674	0.0168	0.0109	0.0106
	(0.0084)	(0.0465)	(0.0330)	(0.0095)	(0.0155)
GFC Firm Shock*2016	-0.0290***	-0.0664	0.0148	0.0128	0.0098
	(0.0086)	(0.0499)	(0.0362)	(0.0099)	(0.0163)
GFC Firm Shock*2017	-0.0252***	-0.0641	0.0155	0.0169*	0.0064
	(0.0082)	(0.0524)	(0.0384)	(0.0103)	(0.0170)
Observations	661,060	661,060	661,060	661,060	661,060
R-squared	0.1365	0.1778	0.0790	0.0529	0.0727
2-digit industry X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the firm level in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

Adjustment is mostly for continuing workers at initial firm

Small counter-effect from reallocation to other firms in same sector

Hours worked and fixed-term contracts in Brazil

	Average hours worked	Indicator for not renewing fixed-term contract
	(1)	(2).
GFC firm shock*2009	-0.0048***	0.0021**
	(0.0018)	(0.0009)
GFC firm shock*2010	-0.0056***	0.0008
	(0.0019)	(0.0011)
GFC firm shock*2011	-0.0052***	0.0012
	(0.0020)	(0.0009)
GFC firm shock*2012	-0.0047**	0.0002
	(0.0020)	(0.0007)
GFC firm shock*2013	-0.0041**	0.0001
	(0.0021)	(0.0010)
GFC firm shock*2014	-0.0039*	0.0001
	(0.0020)	(0.0013)
GFC firm shock*2015	-0.0037*	-0.0008
	(0.0020)	(0.0018)
GFC firm shock*2016	-0.0032*	0.0001
	(0.0020)	(0.0015)
GFC firm shock*2017	-0.0031	0.0005
	(0.0019)	(0.0019)
Observations	3,046,065	42,807
R-squared	0.3213	0.0371
2-digit industry X Year FE	Yes	Yes
State X Year FE	Yes	Yes

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the firm level in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. The estimating sample in column (2) includes only workers on fixed-term contracts.

 Persistent reduction in hours worked and lower probability of renewing fixed-term contracts

Empirical design: Firm specification

- What are mechanisms that drive persistent effects of GFC foreign shocks on labor market outcomes?
- Compare evolution of outcomes/responses for firms facing larger vs. smaller GFC foreign shocks

$$y_{jt} = \theta_t shock_{j2008} + \gamma Z_{j2007} + I^{st} + I^{rt} + \epsilon_{jt}$$

- j: firm, t: year, s: sector, r: region
- y_{it} : firm labor market aggregates or performance outcomes
- ► X_{i2007} firm controls as of 2007: firm size, importer status, pre-GFC growth in firm total employment and/or wages
- Sector*year fixed effects (Ist) and region*year fixed effects (I^{rt}) (based on 2007 firm's 2-digit sector and region)
- Robust standard errors clustered by firm

Empirical design: Firm outcome variables

- Revenues, profit rate, and exit
- Size in terms of employment and wages
 - Total employment: sum of workers employed by firm (based on worker database)
 - Total wages: sum of monthly real wages across all workers employed by firm (based on worker database)
- Firm occupational structure of workforce: skilled and unskilled workers' levels and shares
- Non-labor inputs (materials and capital) and productivity

Firms' adjustment in revenues, profits, exit & size

			Brazil					Ecuado	r	
	Net revenues	Profit rate	Exit	Total employment (log)	Total wage bill (log)	Net revenues	Profit rate	Exit	Total employment (log)	Total wage bill (log)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
GFC Firm Shock*2009	-0.0315*** (0.0044)	-0.0014 (0.0010)	-0.0003 (0.0006)	-0.0162*** (0.0027)	-0.0221*** (0.0031)	-0.0293* (0.0150)	-0.0007 (0.0015)	-0.0010 (0.0010)	-0.0145** (0.0070)	-0.0454*** (0.0094)
GFC Firm Shock*2010	-0.0378*** (0.0047)	-0.0022** (0.0010)	0.0019*** (0.0006)	-0.0220*** (0.0033)	-0.0285*** (0.0034)	-0.0584*** (0.0147)	0.0006 (0.0016)	-0.0009 (0.0012)	-0.0148** (0.0075)	-0.0429*** (0.0098)
GFC Firm Shock*2011	-0.0393*** (0.0051)	-0.0035*** (0.0010)	0.0010*	-0.0263*** (0.0035)	-0.0292*** (0.0036)	-0.0526*** (0.0150)	0.0019	0.0004	-0.0114 (0.0088)	-0.0362*** (0.0103)
GFC Firm Shock*2012	-0.0372*** (0.0053)	-0.0019*	0.0001	-0.0262***	-0.0307***	-0.0473***	0.0001	-0.0020	-0.0025	-0.0257**
GFC Firm Shock*2013	-0.0382***	-0.0016	0.0010	-0.0289***	-0.0315***	-0.0548***	-0.0002	-0.0003	-0.0052	-0.0276**
GFC Firm Shock*2014	-0.0400***	-0.0021*	-0.0004	-0.0308***	-0.0341***	-0.0512***	0.0015	-0.0012	0.0007	-0.0182*
GFC Firm Shock*2015	(0.0033)	(0.0011)	0.0015**	-0.0322***	-0.0319***	-0.0453**	-0.0003	0.0004	0.0003	-0.0218*
GFC Firm Shock*2016			0.0012*	-0.0244***	-0.0295***	-0.0250	0.0011	0.00013)	0.0042	-0.0246**
GFC Firm Shock*2017			(0.0007)	-0.0236*** (0.0051)	-0.0285*** (0.0051)	-0.0449** (0.0190)	-0.0007 (0.0022)	(0.0011)	0.0102 (0.0114)	-0.0161 (0.0132)
Observations R-squared 2-digit industry X Year FE State X Year FE	30,825 0.6787 Yes Yes	32,125 0.0817 Yes Yes	107,541 0.0168 Yes Yes	91,971 0.532 Yes Yes	82,313 0.5301 Yes Yes	18,216 0.4922 Yes Yes	21,894 0.3520 Yes Yes	21,640 0.0618 Yes Yes	23,702 0.6294 Yes Yes	23,731 0.5809 Yes Yes

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

- Persistent reduction in firm revenues in both countries and in profit rates in Brazil where exit increases in 2010-2011
- Downsizing is more long-lasting in Brazil than Ecuador

Firms' broad occupational restructuring

		Brazil				Ecuador	
	log total skilled based on education	log total unskilled based on education	share of skilled based on education	I	og total skilled based on education	log total unskilled based on education	share of skilled based on education
	(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)
GFC Firm Shock*2009 GFC Firm Shock*2010	-0.0051* (0.0030) -0.0102***	-0.0191*** (0.0027) -0.0233***	0.0005 (0.0004) 0.0006		-0.0186** (0.0079) -0.0185**	-0.0156** (0.0074) -0.0154*	0.0008 (0.0012) 0.0008
GFC Firm Shock*2011	(0.0032) -0.0119***	(0.0032) -0.0260*** (0.0034)	(0.0004) 0.0009** (0.0004)		(0.0082) -0.0157*	(0.0079) -0.0148* (0.0020)	(0.0011) -0.0000 (0.0012)
GFC Firm Shock*2012	-0.0111*** (0.0036)	-0.0271*** (0.0038)	0.0011*** (0.0004)		-0.0164* (0.0095)	-0.0129 (0.0089)	-0.0012 (0.0014)
GFC Firm Shock*2013	-0.0104*** (0.0037)	-0.0298*** (0.0040)	0.0013*** (0.0005)		-0.0148 (0.0095)	-0.0139 (0.0091)	-0.0017 (0.0015)
GFC Firm Shock*2014	-0.0133*** (0.0038)	-0.0313*** (0.0042)	0.0016*** (0.0005)		-0.0109 (0.0098)	-0.0051 (0.0096)	-0.0001 (0.0013)
GFC Firm Shock*2015	-0.0145*** (0.0040)	-0.0343*** (0.0043)	0.0014*** (0.0005)		-0.0123 (0.0099)	-0.0048 (0.0103)	-0.0009 (0.0014)
GFC Firm Shock*2016	-0.0147*** (0.0041)	-0.0304*** (0.0045)	0.0013** (0.0006)		-0.0142 (0.0101)	-0.0035 (0.0101)	-0.0022 (0.0017)
GFC Firm Shock*2017	-0.0150*** (0.0043)	-0.0295*** (0.0046)	0.0013** (0.001)		-0.0058 (0.0104)	0.0007 (0.0105)	-0.0020 (0.0018)
Observations R-squared 2-digit industry X Year FE	88,549 0.6052 Yes	91,537 0.4983 Yes	119,189 0.4823 Yes		21,175 0.5995 Yes	23,512 0.6327 Yes	24,094 0.3464 Yes
State X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

Firms reduce employment of both skilled and unskilled workers but adjust occupational structure towards skilled workers in Brazil

Firms' detailed occupational restructuring in Brazil

				Brazil			
	share of skilled	share of	share of	share of	share of unskilled	share of	share of
	based on	professional,	skilled	skilled	based on	unskilled	unskilled
	occupations	managerial	white collar	blue collar	occupations	white collar	blue collar
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
GFC Firm Shock*2009	0.00212***	0.00110***	-0.000606	0.00164**	-0.00345***	-0.000983***	-0.00247***
	(0.000709)	(0.000361)	(0.000611)	(0.000779)	(0.000666)	(0.000377)	(0.000597)
GFC Firm Shock*2010	0.00281***	0.00138***	-0.000171	0.00160**	-0.00335***	-0.000764**	-0.00258***
	(0.000694)	(0.000441)	(0.000635)	(0.000796)	(0.000693)	(0.000382)	(0.000630)
GFC Firm Shock*2011	0.00317***	0.00164***	0.000212	0.00132	-0.00364***	-0.000874**	-0.00277***
	(0.000692)	(0.000444)	(0.000638)	(0.000809)	(0.000692)	(0.000390)	(0.000622)
GFC Firm Shock*2012	0.00285***	0.00174***	0.000202	0.000905	-0.00346***	-0.000936**	-0.00252***
	(0.000705)	(0.000450)	(0.000642)	(0.000814)	(0.000705)	(0.000396)	(0.000638)
GFC Firm Shock*2013	0.00268***	0.00157***	0.000472	0.000637	-0.00349***	-0.000994**	-0.00250***
	(0.000710)	(0.000482)	(0.000631)	(0.000815)	(0.000710)	(0.000396)	(0.000643)
GFC Firm Shock*2014	0.00259***	0.00143***	0.000816	0.000344	-0.00340***	-0.000935**	-0.00247***
	(0.000718)	(0.000472)	(0.000639)	(0.000815)	(0.000719)	(0.000400)	(0.000652)
GFC Firm Shock*2015	0.00221***	0.00143***	0.00121*	-0.000422	-0.00277***	-0.00114***	-0.00163**
	(0.000724)	(0.000507)	(0.000642)	(0.000814)	(0.000722)	(0.000424)	(0.000636)
GFC Firm Shock*2016	0.00154**	0.000904*	0.00114*	-0.000499	-0.00214***	-0.000929**	-0.00121*
	(0.000723)	(0.000539)	(0.000653)	(0.000825)	(0.000715)	(0.000408)	(0.000634)
GFC Firm Shock*2017	0.00120	0.000635	0.000869	-0.000303	-0.00196***	-0.000356	-0.00161**
	(0.000739)	(0.000565)	(0.000665)	(0.000849)	(0.000729)	(0.000414)	(0.000644)
Observations	119,189	119,189	119,189	119,189	119,189	119,189	119,189
R-squared	0.090	0.130	0.275	0.311	0.093	0.086	0.122
2-digit industry X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

Permanent expansion of share of professional & managerial workers and of skilled blue collar workers but reduction in shares of unskilled workers

Firms' productivity and technology responses

	Materials	Materials per	Capital	Capital per	TFP Value	Value Added
	(log)	worker (log)	(log)	worker (log)	Added (log)	per worker (log)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
A. BRAZIL						
GFC Firm Shock*2009	-0.0490***	-0.0397***	0.0013	0.0148	-0.0190***	-0.0152***
	(0.0080)	(0.0075)	(0.0138)	(0.0136)	(0.0045)	(0.0041)
GFC Firm Shock*2010	-0.0482***	-0.0332***	0.0003	0.0155	-0.0242***	-0.0164***
	(0.0067)	(0.0061)	(0.0125)	(0.0122)	(0.0046)	(0.0043)
GFC Firm Shock*2011	-0.0587***	-0.0449***	-0.0015	0.0143	-0.0269***	-0.0198***
	(0.0081)	(0.0072)	(0.0133)	(0.0131)	(0.0045)	(0.0042)
GFC Firm Shock*2012	-0.0465***	-0.0343***	-0.0063	0.0093	-0.0230***	-0.0177***
	(0.0082)	(0.0070)	(0.0109)	(0.0106)	(0.0048)	(0.0043)
GFC Firm Shock*2013	-0.0500***	-0.0351***	-0.0100	0.0054	-0.0245***	-0.0163***
	(0.0079)	(0.0066)	(0.0093)	(0.0091)	(0.0050)	(0.0043)
GFC Firm Shock*2014	-0.0586***	-0.0425***	-0.0164**	0.0019	-0.0270***	-0.0187***
	(0.0082)	(0.0072)	(0.0081)	(0.0079)	(0.0050)	(0.0045)
Observations	20.920	21 767	20 7 27	21 102	20.016	21.651
B second	0.4705	0.0652	0 5265	0 2840	0 5120	0 2790
2-digit industry X Vest EE	0.4703 Ver	0.2055 Ver	0.5205 Ver	0.2049 Ver	0.5130 Ver	0.3769 Ver
State V Vers EE	Ver	Ver	Ver	Ver	Ver	Ver
State X Tear FE	16	165	165	16	165	165
B. ECUADOR						
GFC Firm Shock*2009	-0.0408***	-0.0243**	-0.0497***	-0.0423***	-0.0327***	-0.0204***
	(0.0122)	(0.0112)	(0.0168)	(0.0147)	(0.0088)	(0.0069)
GFC Firm Shock*2010	-0.0519***	-0.0335***	-0.0535***	-0.0376**	-0.0364***	-0.0177**
	(0.0123)	(0.0106)	(0.0178)	(0.0159)	(0.0083)	(0.0069)
GFC Firm Shock*2011	-0.0430***	-0.0375***	-0.0616***	-0.0511***	-0.0198**	-0.0158**
	(0.0133)	(0.0116)	(0.0190)	(0.0162)	(0.0096)	(0.0069)
GEC Firm Shock*2012	-0.0376***	-0.0384***	-0.0530***	-0.0346**	0 0228***	-0.0124*
	(0.0125)	(0.0109)	(0.0178)	(0.0161)	(0.0081)	(0.0074)
GFC Firm Shock*2013	-0.0380***	-0.0322***	-0.0522***	-0.0267*	-0.0280***	-0.0153**
	(0.0130)	(0.0110)	(0.0169)	(0.0150)	(0.0082)	(0.0073)
GFC Firm Shock*2014	-0.0440***	-0.0462***	-0.0467***	-0.0211	-0.0217***	-0.0171***
	(0.0140)	(0.0115)	(0.0177)	(0.0143)	(0.0080)	(0.0064)
GFC Firm Shock*2015	-0.0226	-0.0269**	-0.0485**	-0.0283*	-0.0220***	-0.0153**
	(0.0145)	(0.0113)	(0.0192)	(0.0153)	(0.0085)	(0.0065)
GFC Firm Shock*2016	-0.0179	-0.0240**	-0.0408**	-0.0214	-0.0200**	-0.0155**
	(0.0152)	(0.0114)	(0.0196)	(0.0160)	(0.0095)	(0.0070)
GFC Firm Shock*2017	-0.0293*	-0.0312**	-0.0452**	-0.0260	-0.0246**	-0.0150**
	(0.0159)	(0.0124)	(0.0204)	(0.0164)	(0.0098)	(0.0072)
Obranizations	18 184	18 303	9.870	9.916	0.772	21 757
Required	0.5341	0.4691	0.5543	0.2855	0.6484	0.3025
2.digit industry X V EE	Ver	Ver	Ver	Ver	Ver	
2-ungit muustry A tear FE	Ves	1 es	ies Ver	ies Ver	1 es	res Ver
State A rear FE	18	res	165	165	res	res

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

Materials and capital decline and so does capital per worker in Ecuador
 Persistent labor productivity and TFP declines

Effectiveness of Compensation Mechanisms in Brazil

	Average number of	Average	Average number of	Average
	months in UI	earnings from UI	months in PBF	earnings from PBF
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
GFC Firm Shock*2009	0.0104***	12.6110***	0.0073*	0.7037***
	(0.0031)	(3.1702)	(0.0045)	(0.2723)
GFC Firm Shock*2010	0.0005	-0.6657	0.0128***	0.9880***
	(0.0020)	(2.0105)	(0.0046)	(0.2958)
GFC Firm Shock*2011	-0.0008	-1.9912	0.0148***	1.2547***
	(0.0019)	(2.0108)	(0.0046)	(0.3350)
GFC Firm Shock*2012	0.0008	0.2453	0.0146***	1.3997***
	(0.0018)	(2.0030)	(0.0046)	(0.3693)
GFC Firm Shock*2013	-0.0004	-0.6452		
	(0.0018)	(2.0402)		
GFC Firm Shock*2014	0.0002	-1.0784		
	(0.0016)	(1.9543)		
GFC Firm Shock*2015	-0.0002	-1.1020		
	(0.0016)	(1.9736)		
GFC Firm Shock*2016	0.0014	1.2943		
	(0.0017)	(2.1482)		
GFC Firm Shock*2017	0.0023*	2.0523		
	(0.0013)	(1.7051)		
Observations	3,046,074	3,046,074	1,365,282	1,365,282
R-squared	0.0266	0.0186	0.1337	0.0637
2-digit industry X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State X Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the firm level in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

- Increase in access to both compensation mechanisms for workers in firms suffering worse GFC foreign shocks
- Transfer payments replaced 6% of lost earnings: UI 4.3% and PBF 1.7%

Conclusion

Worker effects of firm GFC foreign shocks:

- Persistent reduction in employment in Brazil and Ecuador and real wages in Brazil, but scarring only for lower-skilled workers
- Higher informality and lower competition mitigate adjustment
- Mechanisms:
 - Most adjustments for workers continuing at initial firm
 - Firm scarring: caused by selection in Brazil and downsizing in Brazil and Ecuador
 - Firm restructuring: replacing capital with labor in Ecuador and unskilled workers with skilled workers in Brazil
- Role of income support programs:
 - Increases in unemployment insurance and cash transfers, but they yield limited income loss replacement (6%)
- A temporary shock induces permanent effects: firm restructuring causes scars in continuing workers and increases long-run inequality

Worker panel database and random sample

- Starting database includes for individuals in cohort employed in tradables sector in 2004 or entrants into tradables sector after 2004 all their working lives until 2017
 - Working life may include employment in non-tradables sector
 - Highest paid job in December identifies wage, firm, and sector of worker
- Intermediate database selects from starting database workers 16-65 years old employed at least once in an exporting firm (in tradables sector)
- Final database is a 10% random sample of workers in intermediate database
 - If worker ID is selected for random sample entire working life is included
- Expanded final database is needed to construct worker cumulative employment and wages and it adds observations with 0 months worked and 0 wages:
 - to intervening years between first and last year an individual is observed
 - after an individual is last observed until 2017 if age is below 65
- Characteristics of workers in expanded final database are similar to those of workers in starting and intermediate databases