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Summary

• Main goal of Structural Funds is convergence (not solidarity per se as put forward in the paper)
  • Evidence that Structural Funds foster convergence is a powerful argument in favour of Cohesion Policy

• Paper analyses the impact of SF on economic growth in European regions, taking into account endogeneity and inter-regional spillovers

• In spirit of MRW, Islam: Panel data regressions with the usual explanatory variables plus SF and World Governance Indicators

• Endogeneity: Presence of Natura 2000 sites in each region, capturing extent of environmental protection

• Inter-regional spillovers: Spatial Durbin Model

• Estimated coefficient of SF about four times larger than with OLS
Comments on methodology

• Use of Nature 2000 is a bit odd as an instrument
  • It is not clear why it correlates with Structural Funds and therefore we do not have a priori a reason to think that it is less correlated to the error term than the original regressor
  • Results in table 4 seem to point in that direction but you could explain further

• Way in which distance is incorporated looks rather restrictive

• Human capital not included; Composite indicator for government quality

• Annual data:
  • In your equation (1) the lag of income seems to be the only control for the initial level of income
  • In classical growth regressions we take the initial level of income and link it with the growth rate over a long period of time; Are we capturing the same with this lag?
  • Noise leading to downward bias of estimated coefficients?
Comments on results

• Key result: “our findings suggest that most of the impact of Cohesion Policy takes place in nearby regions rather than in the recipient region”
  • Runs against intuition
  • What does it imply for SF policy?

• MRW structure: coefficient on savings should be related with production elasticity of capital/labour
  • Coefficients in this paper look much lower (0.024 in Table 4 against 0.5)

• Strong heterogeneity in regional aid effect
  • The quest for the holy grail continues..