

CompNet's 6th vintage: novelties and main stylized facts

Paloma Lopez-Garcia
ECB and *CompNet's* Adviser

Paloma Lopez-Garcia
ECB and *CompNet's* Adviser

CompNet's 6th vintage: novelties and main stylized facts

2018 CompNet conference, Halle

I want to thank the great contributions of: Ana Cristina Soares, Roberta Serafini, Jan Paul van der Kerke, Matteo Sartori, Daniele Aglio, Alessandro Zona Mattioli (ECB), Richard Brauer, Matthias Mertens, Peter Haug and Viktor Slatchev (IWH)

Increasing demand for micro-founded analysis

But firm-level data is confidential, and relies on existing administrative databases

- Country coverage and cross-country comparability is hindered
- A solution is to use the **micro-aggregated methodology**: collects moments of the distribution of indicators in a harmonised way across countries
 - STATA code to compute firm-level indicators of competitiveness is distributed to data providers
 - The code deflates, cleans and weights the raw data in the same way across countries
 - Data providers run the code on their firm-level data and send back **aggregated** output to preserve confidentiality
 - Not only mean or median but **full distribution of indicators**, at different levels of aggregations

Available indicators by topic

Productivity and allocative efficiency	Financial	Trade	Competition	Labour
Labour productivity	Investment ratio	% permanent exp.	Price-cost margins	% firms that change employment between t and t+3 (t+1)
VA and revenue TFP, various estimation techniques (New! Translog and revenue prod. Functions)	RoA	% sporadic exp.	Concentration of sales in top 10 firms of a sector	Share of high-growth firms
ULC	Cash holdings	Export intensity	Herfindahl Index	Job creation and job destruction rates
Firm size	Leverage	Characteristics of top exporters	Productivity premium of exporters	Wage premium (proxy for human capital)
Capital intensity	Collateral	Characteristics of firms that export AND import	Trade Credit/Debt	
Marginal revenue productivity of inputs	Equity to Debt	Trade Credit/Debt	Debt burden	
Static and dynamic allocative efficiency (New! Petros-Skavassan wedge)	Cash flow	Debt burden	Credit constraint index	
	Interest coverage ratio	Share of "distressed" firms		

List of raw variables required

Richness of the CompNet dataset

Despite not providing firm-level information, there is lot of granularity in the dataset

For each year, level of aggregation and indicator CompNet collects detailed info

Levels of aggregation	Moments of the distribution collected
Country	p1, p5, p10, p25, p50, p75, p90, p95, p99
Country/macro-sector (1 digit)	mean
Country/macro-sector/size class	sd
Country/sector (2 digits)	skew
Country/region (NUTS2)	kurt

As well as the "joint distributions" (productivity distribution of firms in different size deciles, Sweden 2011)

Country information: 14 countries as of May 2018, 19 expected

Country information as of today, coming up: DE, HR, SI, DK, CH

Country	Time Span	Sample Available	Excluded Sectors (Besides the Sectors Excluded by Default?)	Export information	Regional information	Employment coverage vs. Eurostat	Firm coverage vs. Eurostat
BE	2004-2015	Full and 20e		No	Yes	25%	18%
CZ	2003-2015	Full and 20e		Yes	Yes	6%	3%
FI	1999-2015	Full and 20e	Real estate activities	Yes	Yes	42%	45%
FR	2004-2014	Full and 20e		Yes	No	33%	41%
HR	2002-2016	Full and 20e		Yes	No	35%	38%
HU	1999-2015	Full and 20e		Yes	No	46%	44%
IT	2001-2014	Full and 20e		Yes	Yes	20%	11%
LT	2000-2015	Full and 20e		No	Yes	48%	40%
NL	2000-2014	Full and 20e		No	No	19%	19%
PL	2005-2015	20e		Yes	Yes	65%	76%
PT	2006-2015	Full and 20e	Manufacture of tobacco products, Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products, Postal and courier activities, Real estate activities	No	No	41%	30%
RO	1999-2015	Full and 20e		Yes	Yes	69%	73%
SE	2003-2015	Full and 20e		No	Yes	18%	15%
SI	2005-2016	Full and 20e		Yes	Yes	16%	18%
SK	2000-2015	20e		Yes	Yes	89%	78%

Sample representativeness: employment by size class

Use of indicator-specific population weights also for the full sample have improved a lot the representativeness of the samples

Country	1-9 Employees	10-19 Employees	20-29 Employees	30-49 Employees	50+ Employees
Belgium	21.2%	12.2%	17.4%	24.4%	24.6%
Croatia	27.2%	13.2%	18.2%	26.2%	14.2%
Czech Republic	2.2%	4.2%	16.2%	38.2%	38.2%
Denmark	22.2%	14.2%	15.2%	24.2%	15.2%
Finland	23.2%	15.2%	16.2%	18.2%	23.2%
France	27.2%	16.2%	17.2%	21.2%	18.2%
Germany	21.2%	13.2%	14.2%	22.2%	28.2%
Italy	24.2%	14.2%	15.2%	18.2%	28.2%
Lithuania	22.2%	13.2%	14.2%	24.2%	25.2%
Portugal	23.2%	14.2%	15.2%	18.2%	29.2%
Romania	21.2%	12.2%	13.2%	21.2%	22.2%
Spain	22.2%	13.2%	14.2%	23.2%	24.2%
Sweden	23.2%	14.2%	15.2%	22.2%	24.2%
Switzerland	24.2%	15.2%	16.2%	21.2%	23.2%
Netherlands	25.2%	16.2%	17.2%	22.2%	24.2%
Poland	26.2%	17.2%	18.2%	23.2%	25.2%
Slovenia	27.2%	18.2%	19.2%	24.2%	26.2%
Slovakia	28.2%	19.2%	20.2%	25.2%	27.2%

Here for [macro-sectors](#), and [firms](#)

Productivity: Checking the data

Wide range of parametric and non-parametric productivity indicators to let the researcher choose the most convenient

Validation: TFP in CompNet, AMECO and Conference Board (TFP growth rates)

Granularity: TFP distribution by sector, western countries (TFP levels)

Productivity: Growth in the age of the superstars

Dynamics of high and low productive firms in fast-low growing sectors

What makes the difference between fast and slow growing sectors (in terms of TFP)?

- The chart shows the dynamics of top and bottom productive firms in 3 types of sectors:
 - Fast growing: top-third of distribution of TFP growth
 - So-so: Middle third of the TFP growth distribution
 - Slow growing: bottom third
- What distinguishes fast growing sectors is the super-performance of top firms

Trade: Checking the data

Information on exporting firms in each manufacturing industry (hopefully to be improved)

Validation: Dynamics of exports in CompNet and BACI (2011=1)

Granularity: Share of exporters by TFP decile, Western and Eastern countries

Distressed firms: Checking the data

Non-viable firms still in the market; we use different definitions (interest coverage ratio, negative profits excluding HGF)

Validation: CompNet, ORBIS and SAFE (share of distressed firms)

Distressed firms: Zombie congestion?

Sector investment and share of distressed firms (median investment of the 2-digit industry and share of distressed firms)

Do distressed firms have a sizeable economic impact?

- The chart shows median investment in each country-sector-year and share of distressed firms
 - Share of distressed firms measured as share of firms with positive profits but below interest payments for 3 consecutive years
- Sector with a higher share of distressed firms show significantly lower investment ratio and job creation rates

Available documentation

The new vintage of CompNet data comes with:

- A cross-country report providing an overview of the main novelties of the dataset
- A cross-country comparability report providing metadata and documenting existing differences across countries
- A comparability tool for the user to track cross-country differences in each indicator
- A user's guide with detailed information on definitions of and methodology used to compute some of the core indicators
- A "road-map" mapping indicators to data files and vice versa

All soon to be uploaded in www.comp-net.org